The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte KATSUHISA ARATANI and TAKASHI UENO

Appeal No. 2004-2325 Application No. 09/429,719 **MAILED**

APR **2 6** 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ON BRIEF

Before HARKCOM, <u>Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and NASE and DELMENDO, Administrative Patent Judges.

Per curiam.

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

On February 4, 2005, counsel for the appellants filed a paper requesting withdrawal of the appeal in the above-identified application. In addition, counsel requested the cancellation of "claims 1-20" [sic, claims 17, 18, and 20], leaving only allowable claims 21 through 24 as pending.

 $^{^{1}}$ The appeal brief filed on Dec. 30, 2002 and the examiner's answer mailed on Mar. 11, 2003 indicate that claims 17, 18, and 20-24 are the only pending claims.

Appeal No. 2004-2325 Application No. 09/429,719

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is <u>dismissed</u>.

The application is hereby returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

DISMISSED

Gary V. Harkcom

Acting Chief

Administrative Patent Judge

Jeffrey V. Nase

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

Romulo H. Delmendo

Administrative Patent Judge

RHD/kis

Appeal No. 2004-2325 Application No. 09/429,719

SONNENSCHEIN, NATH & ROSENTHAL L.L.P. P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080